

FEET IN ORTHOGONAL-BUEKENHOUT-METZ UNITALS

N. ABARZÚA, R. POMAREDA, AND O. VEGA

ABSTRACT. Given an Orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital $U_{\alpha,\beta}$, embedded in $PG(2, q^2)$, and a point $P \notin U_{\alpha,\beta}$, we study the set of feet, $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, of P in $U_{\alpha,\beta}$. We characterize geometrically each of these sets as either $q+1$ collinear points or as $q+1$ points partitioned into two arcs. Other results about the geometry of these sets are also given.

1. PRELIMINARES

Most definitions and theorems in this section may be found in [5]. We direct the reader to this source for more information, and details, about projective planes.

Let $GF(q^2)$ be the field with q^2 elements, where $q = p^n$ with p prime (we will always consider p to be odd in this article), and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout this article we will use

$$GF(q^2) = \{a + \epsilon b; a, b \in GF(q), \text{ and } \epsilon^2 = w \in GF(q)\},$$

and we will write $\bar{x} = x^q$, $T(x) = x + \bar{x}$ and $N(x) = x\bar{x}$, for all $x \in GF(q^2)$.

We let V be a 3-dimensional vector space over $GF(q^2)$ and we consider the projective plane $\Pi = PG(2, q^2)$, defined by letting its points to be the 1-dimensional subspaces of V and its lines be the 2-dimensional subspaces of V . A point P of Π will be denoted by

$$P = [a, b, c],$$

where (a, b, c) is a vector generating the subspace defining P . If l is a line of Π then it will be denoted by

$$l = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = [x, y, z]^t,$$

where (x, y, z) is a vector that is orthogonal (using the standard dot product) to the 2-dimensional subspace defining l . The incidence in Π is given by natural set-theoretic containment. Thus,

$$P \in l \iff \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 0 \iff ax + by + cz = 0.$$

It is known that Π is a projective plane of order q^2 . Hence, the following properties hold in Π :

- (1) Every line of Π contains exactly $q^2 + 1$ points.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05, 51; Secondary 20.

Key words and phrases. Unitals, projective planes.

The authors would like to thank *Universidad de Chile*, and its *Stimulus Program for Institutional Excellence* for supporting the third author's visit to Universidad de Chile, where a part of this work was done. Also, the second author was funded by Fondecyt project # 1140510.

- (2) Every point of Π is on exactly $q^2 + 1$ lines.
- (3) The number of points, and the number of lines, in Π is $q^4 + q^2 + 1$.

In order to motivate the concept of unital, we define another important object.

Definition 1. A blocking set β is a subset of points of Π such that every line of Π contains at least one point in β . A minimal blocking set is a blocking set in which removing any of its points never yields a blocking set.

Remark 1. The collection of points on a line l of Π is a blocking set. We will say that a blocking set containing all the points on a line is a trivial blocking set.

A good summary of the basics on blocking sets may be found in Chapter 13 of [7]. The following result may be found there.

Theorem 1. Blocking sets exist in Π . Moreover, if β is a minimal blocking set of Π then $|\beta| \leq q^3 + 1$.

A very special kind of a largest possible minimal blocking set of Π is the object we want to focus our attention from now on.

Definition 2. A unital in Π is a set U of $q^3 + 1$ points of Π such that every line of Π intersects U in exactly 1 or $q + 1$ points. Lines of Π will be called tangent or secant to U depending on whether they intersect U in 1 or $q + 1$ points, respectively.

Remark 2. Unitals may be defined in a much more general way but in this article we will focus only on unitals embedded in Π . So, our definition has been written with this purpose in mind. We refer the reader to [3] for a detailed exposition about unitals and for the concepts we use in this article that we may fail to explain in detail.

Two standard examples of unitals are

- (1) The set of absolute points of a non-degenerate unitary polarity of Π :

$$H = \{[x, y, z] \in \Pi; N(x) + N(y) + N(z) = 0\}$$

is a unital in Π , called *classical*.

- (2) Buekenhout [4] proved that, for $\alpha, \beta \in GF(q^2)$ such that $4N(\alpha) + (\bar{\beta} - \beta)^2$ is a non-square in $GF(q)$, the set

$$U_{\alpha, \beta} = \{[x, \alpha x^2 + \beta N(x) + r, 1]; x \in GF(q^2), r \in GF(q)\} \cup \{P_\infty\}$$

is a unital (said to be an *orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital*) in Π , where $P_\infty = [0, 1, 0]$. Moreover, $\alpha = 0$ if and only if the unital $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ is classical, and $\beta = \bar{\beta}$ if and only if the unital $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a union of conics (see [2] or [8], and [6]).

From now on we focus our study on non-classical orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unitals $U_{\alpha, \beta}$. So, for the rest of this article we assume $\alpha \neq 0$.

Elementary counting shows that if $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital in Π and $P \in U_{\alpha, \beta}$ then there is exactly one tangent line to $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ through P and there are exactly q^2 secant lines to $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ through P . Similarly, if $P \notin U_{\alpha, \beta}$ then there are exactly $q + 1$ lines tangent to $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ through P and there are exactly $q^2 - q$ secant lines to $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ through P .

Definition 3. Let $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ be an orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital and P a point not in $U_{\alpha, \beta}$. Each of the $q + 1$ points of $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ that are on a tangent line to $U_{\alpha, \beta}$

through P is said to be a foot of P . We will denote the set of feet of P by $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ and we will call it the pedal of P .

It is known that $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ has the following properties:

- (1) $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ is contained in a line of Π , for all $P \in \Pi \setminus U_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ is classical (see Thas [10]). The conditions for this result have been relaxed after Thas's work, see [1] for a more recent result on this characterization.
- (2) For $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ non-classical. $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ is contained in a line of Π if and only if $P \in \ell_\infty$. Note that $\ell_\infty \cap U_{\alpha,\beta} = P_\infty$ (see, e.g. [3]).
Note that this result implies that every line, different from ℓ_∞ , through P_∞ contains a pedal.

Finally, there is a group $G \leq P\Gamma L(3, q^2)$ leaving $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ invariant and fixing P_∞ such that

- (1) G is transitive on the set of points of $U_{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \ell_\infty$.
- (2) G is transitive on the points of $\ell_\infty \setminus \{P_\infty\}$.
- (3) G has either one or two orbits on the points of $\Pi \setminus (U_{\alpha,\beta} \cup \ell_\infty)$. Moreover, these orbits are those of $P_\lambda = [0, \lambda\epsilon, 1]$, with $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = w = \epsilon^2$.

2. INTERSECTIONS OF LINES AND PEDALS

Our objective is to find geometric properties that can describe the pedals of points $P \notin \ell_\infty$ in unitals $U_{\alpha,\beta}$, where $\alpha \neq 0$. In particular, we care about how lines of Π intersect these sets. Not much is known about pedals in non-classical unitals, albeit the work by Krčadinac and Smoljak is pertinent; in [9] they study all possible configurations for pedals in unitals that are embedded in (not-necessarily Desarguesian) projective planes of order 9 and 16.

Because of the results listed above about the group G we will now only study $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ for $P = P_\lambda = [0, \lambda\epsilon, 1]$, with $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = w$; this decision is justified in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If $\sigma \in G$, $A, C \in \tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, $\sigma(A) = B$, $\sigma(C) = D$, $Q = \sigma(P)$, then $\sigma(\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})) = \tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ and*

$$|AC \cap \tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})| = |BD \cap \tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})|.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that σ preserves the number of points of intersection between lines and $U_{\alpha,\beta}$, and so σ maps tangent lines into tangent lines. It follows that $\sigma(\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})) = \tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})$.

Note that $\sigma(AC) = BD$ and that $B, D \in \tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. So, if we repeat this argument with A and any other point $E \in AC \cap \tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ we would get another point in $BD \cap \tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. Hence, since σ is injective we get one direction of the desired inequality. We obtain the other direction by repeating the argument using σ^{-1} instead of σ . $\square$

We first look at the lines through P_∞ . It was mentioned earlier that there is a bijection between the set of pedals containing P_∞ and the set of lines, different from ℓ_∞ , through this point. We now want to look at how these q^2 lines intersect $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. The following remark gives enough information for us to address this issue in the subsequent lemma.

Remark 3. *Two distinct pedals can intersect in at most one point, as for every point $A \in \tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ we always get that A , P and Q are collinear. Moreover, two distinct pedals intersect if and only if they are the pedals of two points on a line tangent to $U_{\alpha,\beta}$; their intersection is the tangency point.*

The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 2. *Let $\ell \neq \ell_\infty$ be a line such that $P_\infty \in \ell$. Then, ℓ is either tangent or exterior to all the pedals not contained in ℓ .*

The generalization of this lemma to lines intersecting pedals of points not on ℓ_∞ is not true (see Section 3). However, Lemma 2 implies that the line $\ell \neq \ell_\infty$ can be partitioned into singletons, all of them in distinct pedals. We are able to prove that result for all other pedals as well.

Lemma 3. *Let ℓ be a line that is not tangent to $U_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then, there are $q+1$ distinct pedals intersecting ℓ in singletons, creating a partition of the points in $\ell \cap U_{\alpha,\beta}$.*

Proof. The case when $P_\infty \in \ell$ follows immediately from Lemma 2.

Now, if $P_\infty \notin \ell$ then we use that every point in $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ is in q^2 pedals, and ℓ contains $q+1$ points of $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ then for each point in $\ell \cap U_{\alpha,\beta}$ there are at least $q^2 - (q+1)$ pedals containing no other point of $\ell \cap U_{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence, using that $q \geq 3$ implies that $q^2 - (q+1) \geq q+1$, we can choose the pedals to create the desired partition. $\square$

Now our interest shifts to learn about the intersections of lines, not through P_∞ , with pedals of points not on ℓ_∞ .

3. LINES NOT CONTAINING P_∞

In this section we will study lines that do not go through P_∞ . We consider the orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital in Π

$$U_{\alpha,\beta} = \{[x, \alpha x^2 + \beta N(x) + r, 1]; x \in GF(q^2), r \in GF(q)\} \cup \{P_\infty\}.$$

The tangent line to $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ through $[x, \alpha x^2 + \beta N(x) + r, 1]$ is

$$[-2\alpha x + (\bar{\beta} - \beta)\bar{x}, 1, \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x) - r]^t.$$

In order to study $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ we need to determine all $x \in GF(q^2)$ and $r \in GF(q)$ such that

$$[0, \lambda\epsilon, 1] \in [-2\alpha x + (\bar{\beta} - \beta)\bar{x}, 1, \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x) - r]^t$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} [0, \lambda\epsilon, 1] \begin{bmatrix} -2\alpha x + (\bar{\beta} - \beta)\bar{x} \\ 1 \\ \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x) - r \end{bmatrix} = 0 &\iff \lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x) - r = 0 \\ &\iff r = \lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \in GF(q)$ we get $\bar{r} = r$. Hence,

$$\overline{\lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x)} = \lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \bar{\beta}N(x)$$

and thus

$$(1) \quad 2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \bar{\alpha}\bar{x}^2 + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(x) = 0.$$

We let

$$M_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \bar{\beta}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \bar{\beta}) & -\bar{\alpha} \end{bmatrix},$$

and notice that

$$2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \bar{\alpha} \bar{x}^2 + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(x) = 0 \iff 2\lambda\epsilon + \begin{bmatrix} x & \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} M_{\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Hence, $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ is the set of all the points of the form

$$[x, 2\alpha x^2 + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(x) + \lambda\epsilon, 1],$$

where $x \in GF(q^2)$, and

$$2\lambda\epsilon + \begin{bmatrix} x & \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} M_{\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

We can now use Equation (1) to find a different way to represent points in $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x^2) - \lambda\epsilon &= (\alpha x^2) + \overline{(\alpha x^2)} - \lambda\epsilon \\ &= \alpha x^2 + \bar{\alpha} \bar{x}^2 - \lambda\epsilon \\ &= \alpha x^2 + (2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(x)) - \lambda\epsilon \\ &= 2\alpha x^2 + \lambda\epsilon + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $Q_x = [x, T(\alpha x^2) - \lambda\epsilon, 1]$ we get

$$\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta}) = \left\{ Q_x; x \in GF(q^2), 2\lambda\epsilon + \begin{bmatrix} x & \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} M_{\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \right\}.$$

Remark 4. Equation (1) can be re-written as

$$2\lambda\epsilon + 2\epsilon \operatorname{Im}(\alpha x^2) + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(x) = 0$$

It follows that for two points $Q_x, Q_y \in \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ we get $N(x) = N(y)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha x^2) = \operatorname{Im}(\alpha y^2)$.

We now introduce some notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda &= \{x \in GF(q^2); Q_x \in \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in GF(q^2); 2\lambda\epsilon + \begin{bmatrix} x & \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} M_{\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $x \in T_\lambda$ if and only if $-x \in T_\lambda$. Moreover, if $x, -x \in T_\lambda$ then they have the same value of r associated to them (in the representation of Q_x and Q_{-x} as points in $U_{\alpha,\beta}$).

Lemma 4. Let $l_{x,-x}$ be the line through Q_x and Q_{-x} , where $\pm x \in T_\lambda$. If $Q_y \in l_{x,-x}$, for some $y \in T_\lambda$, then $Q_{-y} \in l_{x,-x}$.

Proof. The line passing through Q_x and Q_{-x} is given by

$$l_{x,-x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ T(\alpha x^2) - \lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $Q_y \in l_{x,-x}$ then

$$[y, T(\alpha y^2) - \lambda\epsilon, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ T(\alpha x^2) - \lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

which can be simplified to

$$T(\alpha x^2) - T(\alpha y^2) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$[-y, T(\alpha(-y)^2) - \lambda\epsilon, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ T(\alpha x^2) - \lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix} = T(\alpha x^2) - T(\alpha y^2),$$

which is equal to zero. Hence, $Q_{-y} \in l_{x,-x}$. $\square$

Remark 5. All lines of the form $l_{x,-x}$ pass through $[1, 0, 0]$.

We want to learn about the conditions under which the line through Q_x and Q_y , for $x, y \in T_\lambda$, contains more points of $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ besides Q_x and Q_y .

Lemma 5. Let $l_{x,y}$ be the line through Q_x and Q_y , for $x \neq y$ in T_λ . If $Q_z \in l_{x,y}$, $z \in T_\lambda$, and $T(\alpha x^2) = T(\alpha y^2)$, then $Q_{-z} \in l_{x,y}$.

Proof. The line $l_{x,y}$ is given by:

$$l_{x,y} = \begin{bmatrix} T(\alpha x^2) - T(\alpha y^2) \\ y - x \\ xT(\alpha y^2) - yT(\alpha x^2) + (y - x)\lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

But, $T(\alpha x^2) = T(\alpha y^2)$ and $x \neq y$, so $l_{x,y}$ is represented by

$$l_{x,y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ T(\alpha x^2) - \lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $Q_z \in l_{x,y}$ then, after routine simplifications, we get

$$-T(\alpha z^2) + T(\alpha x^2) = 0.$$

It follows that $l_{x,y}$ can be represented by

$$l_{x,y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ T(\alpha z^2) - \lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix},$$

which is the line $l_{z,-z}$. $\square$

Theorem 2. Let $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ be an orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital with $\alpha \neq 0$. Let Q_x and Q_y be two distinct points in $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, and let $l_{x,y}$ be the line through them. If $T(\alpha x^2) \neq T(\alpha y^2)$ then

$$l_{x,y} \cap \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta}) = \{Q_x, Q_y\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $l_{x,y}$ contains a point $Q_z \in \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, different from Q_x and Q_y , then there is a $\mu \in GF(q^2) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$Q_z = Q_x + \mu Q_y.$$

Note that $1 + \mu \neq 0$, otherwise $Q_x + \mu Q_y \notin U_{\alpha, \beta}$. Then,

$$[z, T(\alpha z^2) - \lambda\epsilon, 1] = Q_x + \mu Q_y = \left[\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}, \frac{T(\alpha x^2) + \mu T(\alpha y^2)}{1 + \mu} - \lambda\epsilon, 1 \right].$$

This expression implies

$$T\left(\alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2\right) = \frac{T(\alpha x^2) + \mu T(\alpha y^2)}{1 + \mu},$$

which we re-write as:

$$(1 + \mu)T\left(\alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2\right) = T(\alpha x^2) + \mu T(\alpha y^2).$$

It follows that

$$(2) \quad T\left(\alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2 - \alpha x^2\right) = \mu T\left(\alpha y^2 - \alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2\right).$$

If

$$T\left(\alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2 - \alpha x^2\right) = T\left(\alpha y^2 - \alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2\right) = 0$$

then

$$T\left(\alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2\right) = T(\alpha x^2) \quad \text{and} \quad T(\alpha y^2) = T\left(\alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2\right)$$

and thus $T(\alpha x^2) = T(\alpha y^2)$, which contradicts our hypothesis. It follows that Equation (2) implies $\mu \in GF(q)$, and thus $1 + \mu \in GF(q)$.

Since $z \in T_\lambda$ and $z = \frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}$ we get

$$2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2 - \overline{\alpha} \overline{\left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^2} + (\beta - \overline{\beta}) \left(\frac{x + \mu y}{1 + \mu}\right)^{q+1} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$2\lambda\epsilon(1 + \mu)^2 + \alpha(x + \mu y)^2 - \overline{\alpha} \overline{(x + \mu y)^2} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})(x + \mu y)^{q+1} = 0.$$

After some simplifications we get

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \overline{\alpha} \overline{x^2} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})N(x)) \\ & + \mu^2 (2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha y^2 - \overline{\alpha} \overline{y^2} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})N(y)) \\ & + \mu (4\lambda\epsilon + 2\alpha xy - 2\overline{\alpha} \overline{x} \overline{y} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})(\overline{y}x + \overline{x}y)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x, y \in T_\lambda$, we know

$$2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha x^2 - \overline{\alpha} \overline{x^2} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})N(x) = 2\lambda\epsilon + \alpha y^2 - \overline{\alpha} \overline{y^2} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})N(y) = 0$$

and thus, Equation (3) implies

$$4\lambda\epsilon + 2\alpha xy - 2\overline{\alpha} \overline{x} \overline{y} + (\beta - \overline{\beta})(\overline{y}x + \overline{x}y) = 0,$$

as $\mu \neq 0$.

Since the expression above is zero, independent of the value of μ , every point $Q_x + \mu Q_y$, for $\mu \in GF(q) \setminus \{-1\}$, is in $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha, \beta})$. Hence, there are exactly q feet of P_λ in $U_{\alpha, \beta}$ lying on the same line ℓ . But since $x \in T_\lambda$ implies $-x \in T_\lambda$, there

is a $y \in T_\lambda$ such that $\ell = l_{y,-y}$. However, by Lemma 4 the number of points on $\ell \cap \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ must be even, which contradicts q being odd. $\square$

As of now we have that a secant line cannot intersect $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in 3 points, and that if the line is not of the form $l_{x,-x}$ then this intersection contains at most 2 points. Next we obtain a bound for the maximum number of collinear points on $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$.

Theorem 3. *Let $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ be an orthogonal-Buekenhout-Metz unital with $\alpha \neq 0$ and let ℓ be the line through two distinct points in $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. Then, ℓ intersects $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in at most four points.*

Proof. Because of the previous results, the only case to consider is when ℓ is of the form $l_{x,-x}$, for some $x \in T_\lambda$. Hence, the conditions for $Q_z \in \ell \cap \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ are

$$(4) \quad T(\alpha x^2) - T(\alpha z^2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\lambda\epsilon + 2\epsilon \operatorname{Im}(\alpha z^2) + (\beta - \bar{\beta})N(z) = 0.$$

We let $z = z_1 + z_2\epsilon$, $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\epsilon$, and $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2\epsilon$, where $z_1, z_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in GF(q)$. Using these variables we can re-write Equations (4) as the system

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} 2^{-1}T(\alpha x^2) &= \alpha_1 z_1^2 + \alpha_1 w z_2^2 + 2\alpha_2 w z_1 z_2 \\ -\lambda &= (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)z_1^2 + (\alpha_2 - w\beta_2)z_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 z_1 z_2 \end{aligned}$$

We define the following elements in $GF(q)$.

$$\begin{array}{llll} A = \alpha_1 & B = \alpha_1 w & C = 2\alpha_2 w & D = -2^{-1}T(\alpha x^2) \\ E = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 & F = \alpha_2 - w\beta_2 & G = 2\alpha_1 & H = \lambda. \end{array}$$

These elements allow us to re-write System (5) as the following system of equations with coefficients in $GF(q)$:

$$(6) \quad Az_1^2 + Bz_2^2 + Cz_1 z_2 + D = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Ez_1^2 + Fz_2^2 + Gz_1 z_2 + H = 0$$

If these equations have a common linear factor then we get three linear equations equal to zero, which is three intersecting lines. This yields one solution or a triplet of coinciding lines, which would imply that each equation in System (6) is a multiple of the other. But we know that the equation $T(\alpha x^2) - T(\alpha z^2) = 0$ has exactly $2(q+1)$ solutions, implying that System (6) has $2(q+1)$ solutions, which is more than the maximum number of points on $\ell \cap \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, which is $q+1$.

In the case the equations in System (6) do not have common factors we can use Bézout's Theorem for the curves given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_1, z_2) &= Az_1^2 + Bz_2^2 + Cz_1 z_2 + D \\ q(z_1, z_2) &= Ez_1^2 + Fz_2^2 + Gz_1 z_2 + H \end{aligned}$$

and since both are polynomials in two variables with coefficients in $GF(q)$, and both have degree two, we get that System (5) has at most $4 = \deg(p) \cdot \deg(q)$ solutions. $\square$

We summarize our results on the size of the intersections between lines and pedals in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $P \notin \ell_\infty$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Then,*

- (1) *lines in Π intersect $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in exactly 0, 1, 2, or 4 points.*
- (2) *the points of $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ may be partitioned into two arcs.*

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Theorems 2 and 3, and Lemmas 1, 4 and 5.

For the second part, we use Lemma 1 to allow ourselves to consider the particular case $P = P_\lambda$. Since we know that only the lines of the form $l_{x,-x}$ can intersect $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in four points, we look at these lines first.

Assume that the lines of the form $l_{x,-x}$ intersecting $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ are partitioned as follows: $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ intersect $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in exactly two points and $\ell_{n+1}, \ell_{n+2}, \dots, \ell_t$ intersect $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in four. We label the points of $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ by Q_x , where x is one of the following

$$x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, x_{(n+1)1}, x_{(n+1)2}, x_{(n+1)3}, x_{(n+1)4}, \dots, x_{t1}, x_{t2}, x_{t3}, x_{t4}$$

where $Q_{x_{ij}} \in \ell_i$, and $x_{i2} = -x_{i1}$ and $x_{i3} = -x_{i4}$, for all i . Then, the points of $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ can be partitioned into the following two arcs

$$A_1 = \{Q_x; x = x_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, t \text{ and } j = 1, 2\} \cup \{P_\lambda\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \{Q_x; x = x_{ij}, i = n+1, \dots, t \text{ and } j = 3, 4\}.$$

Note that the partition given is just one of the many possible ones. $\square$

In the particular case when $\beta = \bar{\beta}$ we can get an even stronger result.

Corollary 1. *If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta}$, then the points of $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ are contained in lines or arcs.*

Proof. We already know that $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ is contained in a line when $P \in \ell_\infty$. For when $P \notin \ell_\infty$ we use Lemma 1 to restrict ourselves to study the structure of $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$.

Let $x \neq y$ and let $l_{x,y}$ be the line through $Q_x, Q_y \in \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. Since $\beta = \bar{\beta}$ we get that $T(\alpha x^2) = 2\alpha x^2 + 2\lambda\epsilon$, for all $x \in T_\lambda$ (this follows from the argument before Remark 4). Hence, a point $Q_z \in \tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ now looks like $Q_z = [z, 2\alpha z^2 + \lambda\epsilon, 1]$, and the line $l_{x,y}$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2\alpha(x+y) \\ 1 \\ 2\alpha xy - \lambda\epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, $Q_z \in l_{x,y}$ if and only if

$$2\alpha[(x^2 - y^2)z - (x - y)z^2 - xy(x - y)] = 0.$$

Since $\alpha \neq 0$ and $x \neq y$, this equation reduces to

$$z^2 - (x + y)z + xy = 0,$$

which can be re-written as

$$(z - x)(z - y) = 0.$$

The result follows. $\square$

4. THE ELATION GROUP OF $U_{\alpha,\beta}$

Let us consider the collineation group of $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_t : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y + tz, z); t \in GF(q)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}$ is an elation group with center P_∞ and axis ℓ_∞ . It is easy to show that lines of the form $AE_t(A)$ must pass through P_∞ , for all $A \notin \ell_\infty$ and $E_t \in \mathcal{E}$. Also, since $\mathcal{E}$ acts semi-regularly on points not on its axis, nothing but the identity in $\mathcal{E}$ stabilizes a $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, and if $Q = E_t(P)$, for some $E_t \in \mathcal{E}$, we get that $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ and $\tau_Q(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ are disjoint.

It has been mentioned before that every line through P_∞ , except from ℓ_∞ , contains a pedal (of a point on ℓ_∞). Moreover, it is easy to see that the q points, different from P_∞ , on each of these pedals form an orbit under the group $\mathcal{E}$. We take this observation as a ‘suggestion’ to take a closer look at the orbits of pedals under $\mathcal{E}$ and to study how lines intersect these sets.

From now on, we will use $\mathcal{O}(X)$ to denote the orbit of a set X under the group $\mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 6. *Given a pedal $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$, there is a point $Q \in \ell_\infty$ and q lines through Q that partition $\mathcal{O}(\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta}))$. That is, the intersection of each of these lines with $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ is completely contained in $\mathcal{O}(\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta}))$.*

Proof. Because of Lemma 1, it is enough to look at how lines intersect $\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$. We consider the point $[1, 0, 0]$ and the lines through it. We know that lines, different from ℓ_∞ , through $[1, 0, 0]$ look like

$$l_\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the orbit of P_λ is contained on a line through P_∞ . So, we let $\mathcal{O}(P_\lambda) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_q\}$, where $P_t = E_t(P_\lambda)$, for all $t \in GF(q)$. Moreover, since

$$E_t(x, y, z) = (x, y + tz, z)$$

for all $t \in GF(q)$, and $P_\lambda = [0, \lambda\epsilon, 1]$, with $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = w$, we obtain

$$P_t = [0, \lambda\epsilon + t, 1]$$

Using the arguments at the beginning of Section 3, we get that

$$\tau_{P_t}(U_{\alpha,\beta}) = \left\{ R_y; y \in GF(q^2), 2\lambda\epsilon + \begin{bmatrix} y & \overline{y} \end{bmatrix} M_{\alpha,\beta} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ \overline{y} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

where $R_y = [y, T(\alpha y^2) - \lambda\epsilon + t, 1]$.

Now, the points of intersection (if any) of $\tau_{P_t}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ with l_γ are given by

$$0 = [y, T(\alpha y^2) - \lambda\epsilon + t, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = -(T(\alpha y^2) - \lambda\epsilon + t) + \gamma$$

which means

$$(7) \quad t = \gamma + \lambda\epsilon - T(\alpha y^2).$$

It follows that, if y and γ were given, and $\gamma = s - \lambda\epsilon$ for some $s \in GF(q)$, then we can always find a $t \in GF(q)$ that satisfies Equation (7). In this case, given a line

$l_{s-\lambda\epsilon}$, for every $y \in GF(q^2)$ such that $R_y \in \tau_{P_t}(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ there is a point of intersection between $l_{s-\lambda\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta}))$.

Hence, for $\gamma \neq s - \lambda\epsilon$, for all $s \in GF(q)$ the intersection is empty and for when $\gamma = ks - \lambda\epsilon$ then the intersection contains $q + 1$ points. Note that for every $s \in GF(q)$ we are able to choose such a γ , thus we get q lines through $[1, 0, 0]$ intersecting $\mathcal{O}(\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta}))$ in $q + 1$ points each. $\square$

We would like to close this paper stating a few open problems.

- (1) Do lines intersecting pedals in at least four points exist if and only if $\beta \neq \bar{\beta}$? Corollary 1 gives us one direction of this conjecture.
- (2) What geometric properties determine when a line of the form $l_{x,-x}$ intersects a given $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ in four points?
- (3) When a $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ is partitioned into two arcs (or contained in one arc for the case $\beta = \bar{\beta}$), is any of these arcs contained in a conic?
- (4) In how many points does a line intersect the set of points in the orbit of any given $\tau_P(U_{\alpha,\beta})$ under $\mathcal{E}$? Lemma 6 gives us a partial answer to this, but there are several other lines that are not considered in this result.
- (5) Is there a combinatorial characterization for the structure formed by the lines of Π and the points on $\mathcal{O}(\tau_{P_\lambda}(U_{\alpha,\beta}))$?

REFERENCES

- [1] Aguglia, A.; Ebert, G. L. A combinatorial characterization of classical unitals. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **78** (2002), no. 2, 166–172.
- [2] Baker, R. D.; Ebert, G. L. Intersection of unitals in the Desarguesian plane. Proceedings of the Twentieth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (Boca Raton, FL, 1989). *Congr. Numer.* **70** (1990), 87–94.
- [3] Barwick, Susan; Ebert, Gary. *Unitals in Projective Planes* Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2008.
- [4] F. Buekenhout. Existence of Unitals in Finite Translation Planes of Order q^2 with a Kernel of Order q . *Geom. Dedicata*, **5** (1976) 189–194.
- [5] Dembowski, Peter. *Finite Geometries*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1968.
- [6] Durante, Nicola; Siciliano, Alessandro. Unitals of $PG(2, q^2)$ containing conics. *J. Combin. Des.* **21** (2013), no. 3, 101–111.
- [7] Hirschfeld, J.W.P. *Projective Geometries Over Finite Fields*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] Hirschfeld, J. W. P.; Szőnyi, T. Sets in a finite plane with few intersection numbers and a distinguished point. *Discrete Math.* **97** (1991), no. 1-3, 229–242.
- [9] Krčadinac, Vedran; Smoljak, Ksenija. Pedal sets of unitals in projective planes of order 9 and 16. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **7**(20) (2011), no. 2, 255–264.
- [10] Thas, J. A. A combinatorial characterization of Hermitian curves. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992), no. 1, 97–102.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD ADOLFO IBAÑEZ
E-mail address: nicolas.abarzua@uai.cl

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE.
E-mail address: rpomared@uchile.cl

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO.
E-mail address: ovega@csufresno.edu